

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,762	10/19/2004	Hiroyuki Katata	1152-0311PUS1	6715	
2292	7590 02/12/2008	EXAMINER			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			CHU, RANDOLPH I		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/12/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/511,762	KATATA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Randolph Chu	2624		

	Randolph Chu	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection in the second section is the second	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / inclianche	(1 102-024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other:	7	Marken (Bella
		MATTURN C	

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on January 22, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argue on page 4 of the response that the disclosure of Swift et al. fails to teach or suggest a joining means for joining the plurality of images data based on a predetermined joining method and a coding means for coding a joined image data.

In response to Applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Yamamoto et al. teaches a joining means for joining the plurality of images data based on a predetermined joining method (Fig. 2 ref. no. 13a, 13b and 20; Fig 3); a coding means for coding a joined image data (Fig. 2 ref. no. 2);

Applicant's argue on page 4 of the response that the disclosure Swift et al. fails to teach or suggest coding a method representing how a 2-dimensional display image is generated from the joined image data.

The Examiner disagree. Swift et al. teaches coding a method representing how a 2-dimensional display image is generated from the joined image data (stereoscopic media in electrical form provides automatic and manual optimization adjustments (parallax shift adjustment, brightness control, color adjustment, and cross-talk reduction) to the stereoscopic media based on viewing hardware, monitor size, and media content for optimal viewing quality. And it provides seamless support for monoscopic (2D) viewing modes allowing delivery of said stereoscopic media in a normal 2D viewing mode (abstract, Figure 1)).

Applicant's argue on pages 7-8 of the response that the disclosure Swift et al. fails to teach that the header portion stores information that represents the fact that the coded data constitutes a stereo image and information that represents a joining method of joining the plurality of images data. Swift et al. discloses a VRR script together with a plurality of media elements. However, this information is insufficient to teach the header information as claimed.

The Examiner disagree. The claim states "optimization criterion" but does not limits to the criterion. Swift et al. teaches Steroscopic media in electroic form (VRR file) that contains image data and other information. Information that contained in Steroscopic media in electroic form other than image data which read on the claims broad limitation of "Header information".